Tonic GABA_A conductance bidirectionally controls interneuron firing pattern and synchronization in the CA3 hippocampal network

Ivan Pavlov^{a,1,2}, Leonid P. Savtchenko^{a,b,1}, Inseon Song^{b,1}, Jaeyeon Koo^b, Alexey Pimashkin^c, Dmitri A. Rusakov^{a,2}, and Alexey Semyanov^{b,c,2}

^aDepartment of Clinical and Experimental Epilepsy, University College London Institute of Neurology, University College London, London WC1N 3BG, United Kingdom; ^bRIKEN Brain Science Institute, Wako-shi, Saitama 351-0198, Japan; and ^cDepartment of Neurodynamics and Neurobiology, University of Nizhny Novgorod, Nizhny Novgorod 603950, Russia

Edited by Masao Ito, RIKEN Brain Science Institute, Wako, Japan, and approved November 11, 2013 (received for review May 3, 2013)

The spiking output of interneurons is key for rhythm generation in the brain. However, what controls interneuronal firing remains incompletely understood. Here we combine dynamic clamp experiments with neural network simulations to understand how tonic GABA_A conductance regulates the firing pattern of CA3 interneurons. In baseline conditions, tonic GABA_A depolarizes these cells, thus exerting an excitatory action while also reducing the excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP) amplitude through shunting. As a result, the emergence of weak tonic GABA_A conductance transforms the interneuron firing pattern driven by individual EPSPs into a more regular spiking mode determined by the cell intrinsic properties. The increased regularity of spiking parallels stronger synchronization of the local network. With further increases in tonic GABAA conductance the shunting inhibition starts to dominate over excitatory actions and thus moderates interneuronal firing. The remaining spikes tend to follow the timing of suprathreshold EPSPs and thus become less regular again. The latter parallels a weakening in network synchronization. Thus, our observations suggest that tonic GABA_A conductance can bidirectionally control brain rhythms through changes in the excitability of interneurons and in the temporal structure of their firing patterns.

tonic conductance | extrasynaptic signaling | oscillations

hythmic activity paces signal transfer within brain circuits. Rhythmic activity paces signal transfer the Brain rhythms are believed to depend heavily on the networks of inhibitory interneurons (1–4). In addition to synaptic inputs, interneuron excitability in the hippocampus is determined by tonic GABA_A conductance (5, 6), which could thus contribute to hippocampal rhythmogenesis. Indeed, GABA transaminase inhibitor vigabatrin increases the ambient GABA concentration, enhancing the power of the theta-rhythm in rats (7). In mice expressing GFP under the GAD67 promoter the reduced levels of ambient GABA correlate with a decreased power of kainate-induced oscillations in vitro (8). The latter decrease is reversed by a GABA uptake inhibitor, guvacine, which raises ambient GABA. GABA release by astrocytes also increases the gamma oscillation power in hippocampal area CA1 in vivo (9). Intriguingly, in hippocampal slices of animals lacking δ subunitcontaining GABAA receptors (which mediate tonic conductance in many local cell types including interneurons) the average frequency of cholinergically induced gamma oscillations is increased, whereas the oscillation power tends to drop (10). However, cellular mechanisms underlying such phenomena remain poorly understood.

One possible explanation is the influence of tonic GABA_A conductance on the firing pattern of interneurons. Activation of GABA_A receptors inhibits most neurons, through either membrane hyperpolarization or shunting or both (11). In the adult brain, a depolarizing action of GABA has also been reported in various cell types, including hippocampal interneurons (3, 12–15). GABAergic depolarization can prompt spike generation, thus

countering the shunting effects (14, 16). Therefore, experimental evidence indicates that the net effect of GABA_A receptor activation combines the excitatory action of depolarization and the inhibitory consequences of shunting, with the latter prevailing when the GABA_A receptor conductance is sufficiently strong. As a result, increasing the tonic GABA_A signaling can have a biphasic effect on individual hippocampal interneurons: excitatory at weak conductances and inhibitory at strong (14). Here we find that weak tonic GABA_A conductance favors a more regular firing pattern of interneurons, thus facilitating synchronization of the CA3 network. In contrast, strong GABA_A conductance makes the firing pattern more dependent on the stochastic excitatory synaptic input, thus reducing network synchrony.

Results

Varied Tonic GABA_A Conductance Regulates Interneuron Firing Pattern. In acute hippocampal slices, we recorded from visually identified, fast-spiking CA3 *stratum lucidum* interneurons (which displayed relatively heterogeneous morphologies; Fig. S1) (17). AMPA, NMDA, and GABA_B receptors were pharmacologically blocked throughout. In perforated patch mode, E_{GABA} was above the resting membrane potential (RMP = -71.0 ± 1.5 mV; $E_{GABA} = -64.3 \pm 2.5$ mV; n = 5, P = 0.013, paired *t* test; Fig. 14) (3, 12, 13),

Significance

Here we demonstrate a novel feature of interneuron physiology that may have important implications for a better understanding of the relationship between rhythmical network activity and synaptic input patterns in the brain. We find that tonic GABA_A conductance in interneurons can control this relationship in a biphasic, bell-shaped manner. As this tonic conductance increases, it fundamentally changes the interneuron firing mode, from being a faithful readout of stochastic excitatory synaptic input to becoming attuned to the intrinsic cell properties. The latter mode supports synchronic oscillations in brain networks. Our results suggest a previously unrecognized mechanism of network synchronization control that may explain how different concentrations of ambient GABA can either facilitate or suppress hippocampal rhythms.

Author contributions: I.P., L.P.S., D.A.R., and A.S. designed research; I.P., L.P.S., I.S., and J.K. performed research; I.P., L.P.S., I.S., J.K., A.P., and A.S. analyzed data; and I.P., L.P.S., I.S., D.A.R., and A.S. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

¹I.P., L.P.S., and I.S. contributed equally to this work.

²To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: semyanov@brain.riken.jp, i.pavlov@ ucl.ac.uk, or d.rusakov@ucl.ac.uk.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1308388110/-/DCSupplemental.



Fig. 1. The effect of G_{tonic} on electrical activity of an interneuron. (*A*) Reversal potential of tonic GABA_A current (E_{GABA}) in CA3 interneurons. (*Left*) Current-voltage relationship of the tonic GABA_A-mediated current (I_{tonic} ; perforated patch). Solid line, second-order polynomial fit. E_{GABA} is an intercept of membrane voltage (V_m) axis and the fit. Arrow, RMP. (*Right*) RMP and E_{GABA} in five individual cells. (*B*) The effect of 1 µM GABA on spontaneous firing in CA3 interneurons. (*Left*) Sample traces. (*Right*) Spontaneous firing frequencies (five cells in control and in 1 µM GABA). (*C*) (*Left*) Simulated EPSPs with and without G_{tonic} . (*Right*) Mean EPSP amplitude (black; $G_{\text{Na}} = 0 \text{ pS}/\mu^{n2}$) and a change in the resting V_m (Δ RMP; red) plotted against G_{tonic} . (*D*) (*Left*) Simulated APs induced by random EPSPs at three different values of G_{tonic} . (*Right*) Mean firing frequency of a model cell against G_{tonic} . Solid line, second-order polynomial fit. (*E*) (*Left*) Sample traces showing firing of an interneuron in response to a Poisson process of excitatory synaptic conductances injected using dynamic clamp. (*Right*) Mean firing frequency against G_{tonic} . Asterisks, missing APs. AP number, total AP number in the boxed areas for each G_{tonic} . (*Right*) Mean firing frequency against G_{tonic} (*n* = 14). (*F* and *G*) *FF* plotted against G_{tonic} in the modeled interneuron (*F*) and in dynamically clamped interneurons (*G*) (*n* = 14). Error bars, SEM.

and 1 µM GABA added to the bath increased spontaneous firing of interneurons (cell-attached mode; Fig. 1B). In these experiments, excitatory transmission was blocked to isolate the effect of tonic GABA_A conductance (G_{tonic}). However, interneurons in vivo undergo depolarization by the excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs), which could, in principle, counteract or even reverse the effect of G_{tonic} . To test the biophysical plausibility of this hypothesis, we explored a single-compartment model of an interneuron (Methods). First, we examined the relationship between G_{tonic} and the waveform of randomly generated EPSPs (averaged frequency = 20 Hz; peak synaptic conductance, $G_s = 0.4$ nS) in a nonspiking cell (Na⁺ channels omitted). We found that gradual increases in G_{tonic} depolarized the cell while decreasing the EPSP amplitude (Fig. 1C), thus transforming the phasic, fluctuating excitatory input into smoother, tonic excitation. Next, we repeated these simulations in a spiking interneuron (voltage-dependent Na⁺ channels, $G_{Na} = 350 \text{ pS/}\mu\text{m}^2$) and found a biphasic, bell-shaped effect on the cell firing rate (Table S1 and Fig. 1D) similar to that reported in CA1 interneurons in the absence of the glutamatergic excitatory input (14).

To test these theoretical predictions, we used dynamic clamp experiments. First, we established the cell firing threshold by injecting excitatory postsynaptic conductance (EPSG) waveforms of varying magnitudes and set the E_{GABA} at the identified threshold value. Next, we simulated EPSPs by applying randomly generated EPSGs (mean frequency 60 Hz). Action potentials (APs) were thus triggered stochastically when EPSP summation exceeded the threshold (mean AP frequency: 12.33 ± 0.86 Hz). Elevation of G_{tonic} produced a biphasic change in the CA3 interneuron firing rate (Table S2 and Fig. 1*E*). (The quantitative difference in the effect's sensitivity to G_{tonic} between modeled and dynamically clamped interneurons was likely due to an additional shunting influence of dendrites in real neurons.)

We next simulated the effect of G_{tonic} on interneuron firing under stochastic EPSG input. When cells are excited by relatively sparse random EPSPs, the resulting AP firing tends to follow their random pattern. However, when cells are excited by sustained depolarization, their firing depends mainly on the intrinsic cell properties and thus tends to be more regular. Because weak G_{tonic} excites interneurons while reducing the EPSP amplitude (see above), we hypothesized that it should make their firing more regular. In contrast, strong G_{tonic} lowers cell excitability through shunting, and therefore, cell spiking should be driven by EPSPs exceeding the threshold. We tested this prediction by calculating the Fano factor (FF) (18), a statistical indicator of randomness ranging from 1 (random) to 0 (regular), for interspike intervals documented in the above simulations and in the in situ experiments. Indeed, weak G_{tonic} decreased the FF, whereas strong G_{tonic} increased it, both in the model cell and in the CA3 interneurons which received random excitatory input (Tables S1 and S2 and Fig. 1 F and G). In striking contrast, when the model cell received constant excitatory conductance instead of EPSGs, its firing pattern remained regular (FF = 0), regardless of G_{tonic} magnitude (Fig. S2). In these conditions an excitatory effect of weak G_{tonic} on the cell firing frequency was small, whereas strong G_{tonic} still had a profound inhibitory action.

Tonic GABA_A Conductance Biphasically Regulates Spike Occurrence in the Presynchronized Network in Vitro. Interconnected interneurons synchronize their activity through mutual inhibition during their concurrent spiking (3, 19). The latter produces shunting inhibitory postsynaptic conductances (IPSGs) which prevent firing of postsynaptic interneurons; this can be shown experimentally using IPSGs evoked by extracellular stimulation (Fig. S3*A*). Coordinated firing of interneurons released from mutual inhibition produces another round of mutual inhibition, the cycle

Pavlov et al.

www.manaraa.com

which could eventually synchronize the network. The more interneurons contribute to the mutual inhibition, the stronger is the synchronization. We used this phenomenon to test the effect of G_{tonic} on network synchronies by recording the probability of spiking within a fixed time interval after an evoked IPSG (5-50 ms post-IPSG in simulations and 5-85 ms in dynamic clamp experiments; *Methods*). Weak G_{tonic} increased this probability both in the model cell (to $111.2 \pm 0.001\%$ of control at $G_{\text{tonic}} =$ $0.6 \text{ pS/}\mu\text{m}^2$, n = 50,000 simulation runs, P < 0.001, paired t test; Fig. 2A) and in dynamically clamped interneurons (to $105.2 \pm$ 0.01% of control at $G_{\text{tonic}} = 0.73 \pm 0.03 \text{ pS/}\mu\text{m}^2$, n = 14, P < 0.001, paired t test; Fig. 2B). Strong G_{tonic} decreased the probability of post-IPSG spiking (model cell: to $90 \pm 0.0001\%$ of control at $G_{\text{tonic}} = 1.4 \text{ pS}/\mu\text{m}^2$, n = 50,000 simulation runs, P < 0.001, paired t test; dynamic clamp: to $99 \pm 0.06\%$ of control at $G_{\text{tonic}} =$ $2.9 \pm 0.12 \text{ pS/}\mu\text{m}^2$, n = 14, P = 0.9, paired t test).

Changes in the post-IPSG spike probability can reflect changes in the firing frequency or pattern or both. To discern between these possibilities, we explored effects of G_{tonic} in conditions of regular firing, under sustained depolarizing conductance. Varying G_{tonic} altered the firing frequency but not the post-IPSG spike probability (until the firing became so infrequent that APs failed to appear in the sampling window post-IPSG; Fig. S3B). This result points to the firing pattern as the main factor in regulating post-IPSG spike probability. Indeed, the first post-IPSG spike is generated when depolarization reaches the threshold: under constant excitation this will depend mainly on the IPSG decay time course. In contrast, under synaptic excitation an important trigger will be the arrival of a suprathreshold EPSP.

Tonic GABA_A Conductance Biphasically Regulates Synchronization of Simulated Interneuronal Networks. To understand how the above phenomena translate into the network synchronization properties, we explored a simulated network of 200 interconnected interneurons (3) (*Methods*). In agreement with a previous report (3), excitatory drive consisting of Poisson-process EPSPs produced weaker synchronization [coefficient of synchronization (CS): 0.3 ± 0.035 ; network frequency 13.2 ± 0.66 Hz, n =10; Fig. 3 A and B] compared with sustained excitation (CS: 0.8 ± 0.063 Hz, n = 10; difference at P < 0.001; Fig. S4). Weak G_{tonic} (0.5 pS/µm²) increased network synchronization (CS: 0.86 ± 0.063 , n = 10, P < 0.001 for difference with $G_{\text{tonic}} = 0$;



Fig. 2. G_{tonic} biphasically regulates probability of post-IPSG spiking. (*A* and *B*) Spiking probability within 45 ms (*A*) and 80 ms (*B*) post-IPSG in the modeled interneuron (*A*) and in the dynamic clamp experiment (*B*) (n = 14). (*Upper*) Super-imposed traces showing the first post-IPSG spike. Arrow, IPSG onset. (*Lower*) AP probability post-IPSG versus G_{tonic} . Values are normalized to control ($G_{tonic} = 0$ pS/µm²); data points are fit with the polynomial function. Error bars, SEM.

506 | www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1308388110



Fig. 3. Synchronization of the interneuronal network depends on G_{tonic} . (A) Raster plot of spike times for each interneuron in the simulated network at three values of G_{tonic} (0, 0.5, and 2 pS/ μ m²). (B and C) Mean network synchronization (B) and frequency (C) versus G_{tonic} . (D and E) Color diagrams of network synchronization (D) and frequency (E) versus G_{syn} and G_{tonic} . Error bars, SEM.

Fig. 3 *A* and *B*) and frequency (17.18 \pm 0.959 Hz, n = 10, P < 0.001; Fig. 3 *A* and *C*). Further elevating G_{tonic} decreased these parameters (at $G_{\text{tonic}} = 2.0 \text{ pS/}\mu\text{m}^2$, CS: 0.14 \pm 0.037, n = 10, P < 0.001 for difference with $G_{\text{tonic}} = 0$; frequency: 1.2 \pm 0.56 Hz; n = 10, P < 0.001; Fig. 3 *A*–*C*). When interneurons were excited by constant depolarization, increasing G_{tonic} reduced both synchronization and frequency monotonically (Fig. S4), suggesting that the action of G_{tonic} depends on the mode of excitation.

Another important factor which can alter the effects of G_{tonic} on network synchronization and frequency is the connection strength among interneurons (unitary synaptic conductance, G_{syn}) (3). Indeed, when G_{syn} was at its low or high limit, G_{tonic} failed to synchronize the network (Fig. 3D). Nevertheless, the synchronizing effects of G_{tonic} were observed within a wide range of physiological G_{syn} (0.4–2.4 nS). Similar effects were seen for the network frequency (Fig. 3E). Thus, G_{syn} determines the range within which G_{tonic} enhanced synchronization and increased the network frequency.

In contrast to the above simplified model, real neural networks in the CA3 area feature a feedback from interneurons to pyramidal neurons (2, 20). In adult pyramidal cells, however, the E_{GABA} is hyperpolarizing (17), and thus, tonic GABA_A conductance exerts a purely inhibitory action. Indeed, bath application of GABA robustly reduces the frequency of spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSCs) in interneurons (Fig. 4*A*). Because this effect might in principle affect the ability of G_{tonic} to regulate synchronization of interneurons, we tested itsconsequences by incorporating connections between interneurons and pyramidal neurons in the CA3 network model (*SI Methods*).

www.manaraa.com



Fig. 4. Effects of G_{tonic} on the network behavior. (A) The effect of ambient GABA on the frequency of spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSCs) in CA3 interneurons. Error bars, SEM. (B) Tonic current density in CA3 interneurons and pyramidal neurons versus GABA concentration (black, interneurons; open, pyramids). Error bars, SEM. (C) Computer-simulated effects of G_{tonic} on the spiking frequency and synchronization in a model with a feedback between interneurons and pyramidal neurons. (*Left*) Raster plots of spike times at different values of G_{tonic} . Black, interneurons; blue, pyramidal neuros. Panels show first 500 ms. (*Right*) Graphs of the coefficient of synchronization and firing frequency in interneurons and pyramidal cells versus G_{tonic} . (G_{tonic} values in interneurons are shown. G_{tonic} values in pyramidal cells were set two times smaller to reflect the difference in the tonic current density.)

 G_{tonic} in pyramidal neurons was set at a lower level compared with interneurons, in accordance with the experimental observations (Fig. 4B). Simulations indicated that increasing G_{tonic} in both cell types reduced the firing frequency and synchronization of pyramidal neurons. Nevertheless, similar to the interneuron-only model, we observed a robust biphasic effect of G_{tonic} on synchronization of interneurons (Fig. 4C). In contrast, the interneuron firing frequency was relatively stable within the wide range of G_{tonic} , which is likely due to the dual (excitatory and inhibitory) action of G_{tonic} on the two neuronal populations in the network.

Tonic GABA_A Conductance Biphasically Regulates Network Synchronization in Hippocampal Slices. We recorded oscillations of local field potentials (LFPs) in the hippocampal CA3 region using 20 μ M carbachol with GABA_B receptors blocked. One μ M GABA increased the power spectrum density peak of LFP oscillations (150.4 \pm 16.4% of control, n = 6, P = 0.024; Fig. 5 *A*-*C*), whereas subsequent application of 50 μ M GABA decreased it (81.4 \pm 6.8% of control, n = 7, P = 0.045). At the same time, GABA applications had little effect on the frequency of carbachol-induced oscillations (Fig. 5D). These observations were fully consistent with our model predictions. To test whether bathapplied GABA affects GABA_A receptor-mediated synaptic transmission, we compared miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) in interneurons recorded in control conditions and after GABA application (50 μ M). Similar to area CA1 data (14), GABA had no significant effect on the amplitude (control: 47.9 \pm 5.9 pA; GABA: 52.8 \pm 7.4 pA; n = 6, P = 0.13) or frequency (control: 4.9 \pm 0.2 Hz; GABA: 4.0 \pm 0.6 Hz; n = 6, P = 0.19) of mIPSCs. This result indicates that GABA application in our experiments had no significant effect on GABA ergic transmission or presynaptic GABA release per se.

Discussion

Firing patterns of neurons are crucial for information coding in the brain (21). To enable the full spectrum of spiking sequences, neurons can dynamically shift between the firing mode set by external synaptic input and that reliant mainly on the cell intrinsic properties. The origin of the shift is largely unknown, and our present results suggest that depolarizing G_{tonic} could be its important contributor in hippocampal CA3 interneurons. We have found that weak G_{tonic} not only increases the firing frequency in these cells but also changes their firing mode. When interneurons are excited by the randomly occurring, relatively sparse EPSPs, the cells generally follow this EPSP time series. Such EPSP-driven firing does not favor synchronization of interneuron networks. Weak G_{tonic} depolarizes interneurons but at the same time shunts EPSPs, thus converting a phasic synaptic input sequence into more tonic excitation. In these conditions the firing becomes more dependent on the intrinsic properties of



Fig. 5. Ambient GABA biphasically regulates carbachol-induced oscillations of the LFP. (*A*) Sample traces of the LFP and corresponding wavelet transforms in control and in the presence of 1 μ M GABA (red trace) and 50 μ M GABA (blue trace). (*B*) Power spectral density of oscillations in control (black trace), 1 μ M GABA (red trace), and 50 μ M GABA (blue trace). (*C* and *D*) Time course of normalized peak magnitude (*C*) and peak frequency (*D*) of power spectral density in control and during subsequent applications of 1 μ M GABA and 50 μ M GABA.

NEUROSCIENCE

š

Pavlov et al.

postsynaptic cells rather than on the excitatory synaptic input, favoring a more regular, deterministic mode. Regular interneuron firing enhances synchronization in the network and therefore promotes rhythmic activity. In contrast, strong G_{tonic} shifts the firing of interneurons back to the input-driven, probabilistic mode, thus weakening network synchronization.

The present results suggest that tonic inhibition affects synchrony or power rather than the frequency of network oscillations, whereas a previous report found an inverse association of tonic conductance with the oscillation frequency rather than power (10). However, the earlier work used genetic deletion of the delta subunit-containing GABA_A receptors as a tool to manipulate tonic conductance, which might subtly shift the network excitability away from the biphasic mode. Indeed, the authors also found what seems to be a large (albeit not statistically validated) decrease in the peak power of oscillations for delta-knockouts (10), which would appear in line with our main conclusion relating low G_{tonic} to facilitated oscillations.

In hippocampal interneurons, the mechanism of postinhibition (post-IPSG) firing appears to differ from the classical rebound spiking in pyramidal cells, which relies on the hyperpolarizationactivated cationic current (h-current) following GABA-mediated hyperpolarization (22, 23). The latter mechanism, however, does not operate when E_{GABA} is above the resting membrane potential: indeed, our data indicate that tonic GABAA conductance affects the probability of post-IPSG spiking in a biphasic manner. The effect of ambient GABA on the network oscillations is not limited to interneurons. We observed no significant changes in the oscillation frequency produced by exogenous GABA in hippocampal slices, thus suggesting an effect pertinent to principal cells. Our simulations incorporating connections between interneurons and pyramidal cells indeed indicate that a decrease in the frequency of pyramidal cell firing may compensate for the biphasic GABA effect on interneuron excitability. Our predictions are consistent with a recently reported effect of increased ambient GABA on gamma oscillations in vivo, in which the gamma power was increased without changes in the firing frequency (9) (but see ref. 10). Assuming that changes in the power of oscillations and in their frequency can have different computational meanings (24), the effect of tonic GABA_A conductance on pyramidal neurons can provide a mechanism enabling increases in the oscillation power without changes in their frequency.

Methods

The methods are briefly described below. For full details, see SI Methods.

Electrophysiology. Hippocampal slices (350 µm thick) from 3- to 4-wk-old male rats were used for patch clamp recordings from the CA3 stratum *lucidum* interneurons. Neurons that could sustain firing frequency over 40 Hz were selected for whole-cell and perforated patch recordings. In some experiments, interneurons were filled with biocytin (3 mg/mL) for reconstruction of their morphology, which indicated that recorded cells belonged to the heterogeneous population (Fig. S1). Whole-cell pipettes for voltage clamp recordings of tonic GABA_A currents (*l*_{tonic}) and mIPSCs contained (in mM) 130 CsCl, 8 NaCl, 10 Cs-Hepes, 2 EGTA, 0.2 MaCl₂, 2 MgATP, 0.3 Na₂GTP, and 5 QX314Br (pH 7.2, osmolarity 295 mOsm, liquid junction potential 4.1 mV). ΔI_{tonic} was calculated as the difference between the holding current in control and in the presence of exogenous GABA (25). sEPSCs were recorded with solution containing (in mM) 130 Cs-methanesulfonate, 8 NaCl, 10 Na-phosphocreatin, 10 Hepes, 2 EGTA, 2MgATP, 0.4 Na₂GTP, and 10 QX314Br (pH 7.2, osmolarity 295 mOsm). Perforated patch recordings were made

- Mann EO, Paulsen O (2007) Role of GABAergic inhibition in hippocampal network oscillations. *Trends Neurosci* 30(7):343–349.
- Bartos M, Vida I, Jonas P (2007) Synaptic mechanisms of synchronized gamma oscillations in inhibitory interneuron networks. Nat Rev Neurosci 8(1):45–56.
- Vida I, Bartos M, Jonas P (2006) Shunting inhibition improves robustness of gamma oscillations in hippocampal interneuron networks by homogenizing firing rates. *Neuron* 49(1):107–117.

using KCI-based solution containing 20 μ g/mL gramicidin-D. For cell-attached experiments, pipettes were filled with the perfusion solution.

In dynamic clamp experiments, excitatory synaptic input was simulated by injecting 5,500 ms template consisting of Poisson-distributed EPSGs of constant amplitude (times the unitary conductance of 0.7 nS) and a reversal potential of 0 mV ($\tau_{rise} = 0.5 \text{ ms}$, $\tau_{decay} = 5 \text{ ms}$). The amplitude range and mean interevent interval (16.7 ms) of simulated EPSGs were chosen to evoke cell firing at 10–20 Hz. Large IPSGs representing converging inhibitory input generated by concurrent interneuron firing were simulated by injecting 100 nS GABA_A synaptic conductances ($\tau_{rise} = 2.5 \text{ ms}$, $\tau_{decay} = 10 \text{ ms}$). AP threshold of recorded interneurons was estimated by EPSG injections of variable magnitude. E_{GABA} was set in the vicinity of AP threshold ($\pm 3 \text{ mV}$).

Oscillations of LFPs were induced by bath application of 20 μ M carbachol in horizontal slices placed in the submerged chamber with laminar solution flow above and below the slice (superfusion rate 4–6 mL/min). Recordings were done with glass microelectrodes placed in the CA3 pyramidal layer. GABA_B receptors were blocked throughout experiments.

Simulations: Interneuron. The model interneuron had a shape of a cylinder (length: 62 µm, diameter: 62 µm; axial resistance: 100 Ohm/cm; C_m : 1 µF/cm²; resting membrane potential: -65 mV) (26). Membrane properties were described using Hodgkin–Huxley formalism (AP threshold ~ -58 mV, determined from the voltage response to a ramp of excitatory current of 1 pA/ms). The kinetics of the channels were typical of CA3 hippocampal fast-spiking basket cells (3, 19). The model was obtained from ModelDB (https://senselab.med.yale.edu; accession no. 21329).

Simulations: Neural Networks. The interneuron-only network consisted of 200 interconnected cells ($n_{i,i} = 1...200$) and had a circular architecture. Each cell was connected with 100 other interneurons via GABAergic synapses. Excitatory input was driven by 200 excitatory neurons, each of which independently generated random APs at a rate of 12 Hz. The coefficient of synchronization $k(\tau)$ was calculated as an average value of coefficients $k_{i,j}(\tau)$ for each pair of neurons. The probability of AP generation after IPSGs was calculated between 5 and 50 ms. The probability of AP generation after IPSGs was calculated between 5 and 85 ms in dynamic clamp experiments. This time window was chosen so that the AP occurrence after IPSGs decreased approximately e-fold.

The interneurons–pyramidal cell network was simulated using a previously reported approach (26) (https://senselab.med.yale.edu; ModelDB accession no. 138421). The network consisted of 200 fast-spiking interneurons (I) and 400 pyramidal cells (E). I and E cells were modeled as single compartments using the standard Hodgkin–Huxley formulism. The E_{GABA} was set to -57 mV (depolarizing relative to the $V_R = -65$ mV) in I cells and -72 mV (hyperpolarizing relative to the V_R) in E cells. Neurons had three types of connections, I–I, I–E, and E–I, with probabilities set as follows: $P_{E-I} = 0.4$, $P_{I-E} = 0.1$, and $P_{I-I} = 1.0$. Some simulations were also performed with E–E connections ($P_{E-E} = 1.0$; Fig. S5, see *SI Methods*). E cells were excited by the constant excitatory current. The decay times of synaptic connections were 10 ms for the I–I IPSGs, 20 ms for the I–E IPSGs, and 4 ms for the E–I EPSGs.

 G_{tonic} in computer modeling and dynamic clamp experiments was simulated as previously described to feature experimentally observed outward rectification of tonic currents (27). G_{tonic} values reported in the paper represent maximal values of the voltage-dependent conductance. Computations were carried using an ad hoc built-in-house 64-node PC cluster optimized for parallel computing (28).

Statistics. Two-tailed Student *t* test (paired or independent as appropriate) was used for the statistical analysis; P < 0.05 for significant differences. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Drs. Hajime Hirase and Iris Oren for their incisive comments. This work was supported by The Wellcome Trust, Epilepsy Research UK, European Research Council and Cooperation in Science and Technology Action BM1001, The Royal Society, The Worshipful Company of Pewterers, and The Ministry of Education and Science of Russian Federation, project 14.B37.21.0852.

- Whittington MA, Traub RD, Jefferys JG (1995) Synchronized oscillations in interneuron networks driven by metabotropic glutamate receptor activation. *Nature* 373(6515): 612–615.
- Semyanov A, Walker MC, Kullmann DM, Silver RA (2004) Tonically active GABA A receptors: Modulating gain and maintaining the tone. *Trends Neurosci* 27(5):262–269.
- Semyanov A, Walker MC, Kullmann DM (2003) GABA uptake regulates cortical excitability via cell type-specific tonic inhibition. *Nat Neurosci* 6(5):484–490.

- Bouwman BM, Heesen E, van Rijn CM (2004) The interaction between vigabatrin and diazepam on the electroencephalogram during active behaviour in rats: An isobolic analysis. Eur J Pharmacol 495(2–3):119–128.
- Lu CB, Yanagawa Y, Henderson Z (2010) Properties of gamma frequency oscillatory activity induced in hippocampal slices from the adult GAD67-GFP (Deltaneo) mouse. *Brain Res* 1323:65–73.
- Héja L, et al. (2012) Astrocytes convert network excitation to tonic inhibition of neurons. BMC Biol 10:26.
- Mann EO, Mody I (2010) Control of hippocampal gamma oscillation frequency by tonic inhibition and excitation of interneurons. Nat Neurosci 13(2):205–212.
- Farrant M, Kaila K (2007) The cellular, molecular and ionic basis of GABA(A) receptor signalling. Prog Brain Res 160:59–87.
- Michelson HB, Wong RK (1991) Excitatory synaptic responses mediated by GABAA receptors in the hippocampus. Science 253(5026):1420–1423.
- Verheugen JA, Fricker D, Miles R (1999) Noninvasive measurements of the membrane potential and GABAergic action in hippocampal interneurons. J Neurosci 19(7):2546–2555.
- Song I, Savtchenko L, Semyanov A (2011) Tonic excitation or inhibition is set by GABA (A) conductance in hippocampal interneurons. Nat Commun 2:376.
- Sauer JF, Strüber M, Bartos M (2012) Interneurons provide circuit-specific depolarization and hyperpolarization. J Neurosci 32(12):4224–4229.
- Zhang SJ, Jackson MB (1995) GABAA receptor activation and the excitability of nerve terminals in the rat posterior pituitary. J Physiol 483(Pt 3):583–595.
- 17. Banke TG, McBain CJ (2006) GABAergic input onto CA3 hippocampal interneurons remains shunting throughout development. J Neurosci 26(45):11720–11725.
- Fano U (1947) Ionization yield of radiations. 2. The fluctuations of the number of ions. Phys Rev 72(1):26–29.

- Wang XJ, Buzsáki G (1996) Gamma oscillation by synaptic inhibition in a hippocampal interneuronal network model. J Neurosci 16(20):6402–6413.
- Fisahn A, Pike FG, Buhl EH, Paulsen O (1998) Cholinergic induction of network oscillations at 40 Hz in the hippocampus in vitro. *Nature* 394(6689):186–189.
- Hopfield JJ (1995) Pattern recognition computation using action potential timing for stimulus representation. Nature 376(6535):33–36.
- Ascoli GA, Gasparini S, Medinilla V, Migliore M (2010) Local control of postinhibitory rebound spiking in CA1 pyramidal neuron dendrites. J Neurosci 30(18):6434–6442.
- Manseau F, Goutagny R, Danik M, Williams S (2008) The hippocamposeptal pathway generates rhythmic firing of GABAergic neurons in the medial septum and diagonal bands: an investigation using a complete septohippocampal preparation in vitro. J Neurosci 28(15):4096–4107.
- Akam T, Oren I, Mantoan L, Ferenczi E, Kullmann DM (2012) Oscillatory dynamics in the hippocampus support dentate gyrus–CA3 coupling. Nat Neurosci 15(5):763–768.
- 25. Song I, et al. (2013) Different transporter systems regulate extracellular GABA from vesicular and non-vesicular sources. Front Cell Neurosci 7:23.
- Kopell N, Borgers C, Pervouchine D, Malerba P, Tort ABL (2010) Gamma and theta rhythms in biophysical models of hippocampal circuits. *Hippocampal Microcircuits:* A Computational Modeller's Resource Book, eds Cutsuridis V, Graham BP, Cobb S, Vida I (Springer, Berlin), Chap 15.
- Pavlov I, Savtchenko LP, Kullmann DM, Semyanov A, Walker MC (2009) Outwardly rectifying tonically active GABAA receptors in pyramidal cells modulate neuronal offset, not gain. J Neurosci 29(48):15341–15350.
- Zheng K, Scimemi A, Rusakov DA (2008) Receptor actions of synaptically released glutamate: The role of transporters on the scale from nanometers to microns. *Biophys J* 95(10):4584–4596.

